The questions on Trinity & Filioque Controversy, Theomathology[1]

Francis Jickhong Yun.Ph.D

(The Institute of Theomathology)

Abstract

I have created a new field of study, which I named, "theomathology" which is a field of Theological Mathematics. The doctrine of Trinity and dispute over Filioque have been continuing on for almost about 2,000 years of history, but still, no one has clearly explained nor proven their essences. Apparently, when we conduct a research on Trinity or Filioque controversy, and if we study something that is off the track from them, it is hard to expect any good result no matter how much time is being invested.

First of all, in order to understand both 'Filioque and Trinity doctrine, which they are sharing the same root, the explanation on both Trinity doctrine and Filioque Controversy should not be separated from each other. We have to seriously observe the historical fact thinking back to the Toledo Synod (AD 589), when the word "Filioque" was forcefully adopted into the Creed, although no one understood it or was able to explain it so. Thus I strongly believe that it was done either by the inspiration or a kind of miraclesfrom the Holy Spirit.

---

[1]Your Soul in the after Life, Theomathology by Francis J. Yun. 2003 Jay Street Publishers New York. NY. USA

1

1. The realm of thesis

Godcould not be simply either defined or explained, howeverone of good studies to explain both Trinity[2] and Filioque Controversyis observing through Theomathology. If God can be defined with infinity, eternity or magnitude,let's define the definition of God as eternity and infinity. This thesis limitedly defines God only with eternity and infinity for Theomathological ways.According to the definition on Trinity, there are three persons:the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit who keepthe same substance with the Father of God.But the role of each person isindependent. However, The Holy spirit proceeds from Father and Son (Qui ex Patre Filióque procédit).

2. The Motive onTheomathological Research

Regarding the terms, Trinity and Filioque, neither wordappears in the Holy Bible.However, aroundin AD 200, one of the most famous Latin theologian Quintus Septimius Florens Tertullianus[3] tried to explain the existence of God, which he created the new word "Trinitas." The theory of Trinity has been an unsolved problem for 2,000 years, and many of the works done by great theologians in history or contemporary theologians do not seem to have fully understood the theory and claim verbose theories. Indeed, this is surprising. The reason why I started to write this paper isbecause of very simple reason. Because unknowing patrists created the Creed while they did not fully understand it, the Holy Spirit has guided them to learn in the right way about the existence of God to the Roman Catholic Church. During the First Constantinople Council (AD 381), the Nicea-Constantinople Creed was created, but it was false. The Holy Spirit suppressed its anger and waited; then during the Toledo Synod (AD 589), it was forcefully adopted despite no one understood nor was able to explain it so. The Roman Catholic Church has adopted and used it until today, but was there anyone who can correctly explain and interpret it? Isn't it still a disputable issue? Therefore, considering that the Filioque issue is over-watched by the Holy Spirit, with the confidence that it cannot be erroneous, I will try to explain it using Theomathology to let more people to understand them, and hoping that the Western and the Eastern churches would find a harmony with firm belief.

---

[2]The Encyclopedia Americana (1956), Vol. XXVII, p. 294

[3]Robert Audi, The Cambridge Dictionary of Philosophy, Cambridge University Press, 1999

a. The language used by the God was in uncomplicated words, so that the people can understand him easily.

b. To dig out gold, study must be conducted on basic academics such as mineralogy, mine engineering, geology, metallography, etc. first. And when you try to find a gold mine, it should be easier to fine one and get gold.

c. In the Bible, after the Jesus give his preach, did he ever explained again about his preaches in long and wordy manner? He always used clear and concise phrases. In general thesis, there seems to be a tendency that if one claims a theory that ordinary people cannot understand fast, he is marked as a 'great scholar'. The basis of IT technology or any computer system is all working with 0 and 1, a simple binary system.

d. So is the Theomathology; it applies simple basic mathematical theories.

There are tons of philosophical or theological problems waiting to be solved by the Theomathology. To help out such researches to start, here I have decided to write a thesis on the Trinity and Filioque Controversy as examples.

3. What is Trinity?

First of all, to fully understand the Trinity Doctrine, it requires full understanding on "Filioque Controversy., however, to fully understand "Filioque Controversy", it requires a full understanding on the Trinity doctrine as they are from the same root.   This point is the very core point to understand both Trinity and Filioque Controversy.

"Trinity" can be defined as "three hypostasis existing inside an entity, which represents the eternity of the God and the mystery of the Infinity."

When you interpret it in Theomathology, we say, "In a population of natural number sequence N, there are two sub-sequences which have the same substance of the populationsequence N."

There are three properties in the population sequence N[4]:

① Eternity ② Infinity ③ immensity (omnipresence)

3-1. Trinity through the Concept of Natural Number Sequence

Natural Number sequence N is not God; however through the concept of Natural number sequence, we can easily understand God.Let's name a population on natural number sequence as "N". Then we find two group sub-sequence which keep the same substance of the population N, thus the one named an odd number sequenceN_x, and the other as an even number sequence$N_y$in this population N.It can be easy to find the fact in the population N that there are two specific characters; one eternity and the other infinity. Now we can say that there are both eternity and infinity as specific characters, in the population N sequence of natural number.

Proof:

Theomathologically, the substance of the population N on natural number sequence is

$$N \supset Z \supset Q \supset R \supset C ....(3-1)$$

Note: the number system is as follow:

C (complex number) $\supset$ {R^i , $i^2 = -1$}   (i.e) {$3 \pm 5i$, $4 \pm 7i$,etc}

R (real number) $\supset$ (Z. Q. $\sqrt{2}$. π }

I (i, irrational number,) $\supset$ {$\sqrt{3}$, π}

Q (rational number) $\supset$ {$\frac{2}{3}$,- $\frac{4}{7}$ .....}

Z (integral number,) $\supset$ { -2,-1, 0, 1,2,3...}

N (natural number) $\supset$ {1,2,3,4,....}

N = [1. p(prime number) $\supset$ {2,3,5,7...},

c (composite   number) $\supset$ {4,6,8..}]

Note: C   (complex number) $\neq$ c (composite   number)

---

[4]George E. Andrews, Evan Pugh The Holy Grail of Number Theory published by Saunders in 1971Dedekind's AxiomFor every partition of all the points on a line into two nonempty sets such that no point of either lies between two points of the other, there is a point of one set which lies between every other point of that set and every point of the other set.

The basic natural number system onnaturalnumber N is as per (3-1)

  All condition on the substance of N is as shown (3-1)

  The natural number sequence N is composed with 1,2,3... ∞ ....N ....(3-A)

The odd number sequenceN_x is composed with 1,3,5, ... ∞ ....N_x ......(3-B)

The even number sequenceN_y is composed with 2,4,6,...... ∞ ....N_y.........(3-C)

Thus the natural number sequence is composed with 2 groups $(N_x, N_y\}$ in the population of natural number sequence N.

  Let's transcribe the above as

Remark: [ ] symbol of group or sub group

$$N \supset/\subset [N_x, N_y] \quad ....(3\text{-}2)$$

$$N = [x \in N \mid x \in 1,2,3,...... \infty] \quad ....(3\text{-}3)$$

$$N = [y \in N \mid y \in 2,4,6,...... \infty]..................(3\text{-}4)$$

if the above formulae (3-2) & (3-3&4) can be marked as

$$N = [N_x \mid x \in 1,3,5,..... \infty , \mid N_y \mid y \in 2.4.6.... \infty]$$

From above formulae $N_x \& N_y$

$$N_x = [n_x \mid x \in 1,3,5.. \infty] \subset N...(3\text{-}5)$$

$$N_y = [n_y \mid y \in 2,4,6.. .. \infty] \subset N.......................................(3\text{-}6)$$

$$\therefore [N_x, N_y] \subset N \quad ....(3\text{-}7)$$

We have to observe$N_x$is 1-1 mapping to N , $N_y$isalso 1-1 mapping[5] to N.

However, both N_x and N_y keep the same substance of   N,

Thus it can be expressed as per the following formulae   :

N~ N   , N_y~N   ....(3-8)

However each of N, N_x and $N_y$keeps its own individual function as per (3-A,B&C)

---

[5].Gaughan, Edward. Introduction to Analysis. AMS (2009). 1.1 Sequences and Convergence

It is self evident that it will turn out that way as per Axiom.

Accordingly Sub-groups N_x is 1-1 mapping to N , N_y is also 1-1 mapping to N. Therefore both N_x and N_y keep the same substance with the population N of natural number sequence as per (3-1)

Therefore

$$N \subset/\supset N_x \ , N \ \subset/\supset N_y \quad ....(3\text{-}9)$$

$$N \ \subset/\supset N_x \subset/\supset N_y \quad ....(3\text{-}10)$$

$$\therefore \quad N \sim N_x \sim N_y ....(3\text{-}11)$$

Thus Formulae (3-A,B & C) and (3-11) sufficiently explained and proved The Trinity Doctrine through Theomathology. q.e.d.

4. What is the Filioque Controversy?

Because this thesis do not need to introduce the whole history of it, as this thesis is focusing on the proofs or explanations on both Trinity question and the Filioque Controversy through Theomathology.

The Filioque in Latin means "the Son" in English. But this simple word "que(and)" have not only been changed the history of the Christian Church but also history of the world. In AD 381, the Creed that we confess today was decided at the Nicae Constantinople Synod. However, The Roman Catholic Church itself has inserted the Filioque clause into the Nicae Constantinople Creed without the consent from the Greek Orthodox Church. (AD 589), Because of this Filioque clause, two churches began the contradiction with each other. Due to this disputation, two churches were separated in AD1054. This is the simple history of the Disputation on Filioque.

4-1 Jesus was baptized by John the Baptist. in the River Jordan.

Even though the only Son of God, Jesus Christ was born from the Virgin Mary and became a human being, Jesus, as a human being, was baptized by John the Baptist in the River Jordan. Jesus was qualified to extend the human-being salvation as the Holy Spirit of pigeon shapes came down onto Jesus. This is the core to understand both questions on Trinity and the Filioque Controversy.

As shown in the formula (3-1), even though the population N of the Father is composed of sub-groups of N_x and N_y, each of two sub groups is individually active on the earth according

to the will of the Father. Howeverthey are also absolutely independentfrom each other. It was proved in Section 3. Accordingly, the term on "God is only one" is also proved.

4-2 The Activities on Earth by Both the Son and the Holy Spirit[6]

It is the point where we have to understand the qualification for both activities ofN_x and $N_y$on earth. According to the Bible, both$N_x$and N_Y are always working together on earth according to the Father's intentions[7].   Thus we define that when N_x is working on earth, it is marked N_f. It is the Son on earth.

WhileN_y is marked N_{hs} on earth,when N_x and N_y are combined together, they are the same as the Father N in Heaven according to (3-7)

It can be theomathologically expressed as

$$N = N_x \cup N_y \qquad (4\text{-}1)$$

Jesus became The Son N_f on earth when the shapes of Pigeon came down onto him while he was baptized by John the Baptist in the Jordan River[89].ThusJesus at last became the Son $N_f$on Earth. Jesus also became God because he was composed with two factors N_x and N_y.   If so, Jesus became God ofthe Father on Earth. Based on 4-1,it is marked as N_f. as he is the Son.On the other hand, the Holy Spirit, N_{hs} also became God, the Father on earth.

The Spirit N_y in Heaven became the Holy Spirit N_{hs} when the Son $N_x$in Heaven came down on the Earth and joined The Spirit N_s according tothe Bible (Matthew 28: 20).   Thus the Spirit N_y became the Holy Spirit N_{hs} only after Jesus N_x in Heaven joined the Spirit N_y[10] on Earth.

It is the fact thatJesus sent us the Spirit N_y who was in Heaven, but $N_y$alone on earth could not act as God, thus Jesus must join N_y according to John28:20 thus$N_y \cup N_x$became N_{hs}.

---

[6]John 16;14-16

[7]John 14:28

[8]George E. Andrews, Evan Pugh The Holy Grail of Number Theory published by Saunders in 1971

[9]Charles M. Sennott, The body and the blood, Public Affairs Pub, 2003. p 234

[10]The Gospel of Mattew 28:20, John 14:16 & 26 John 15:26, 16:15

4-3 He ascended to Heaven and seated on the right side of theFather.
(Et ascéndit in cælum, sedet ad déxteram Patris)

We confess The Apostle's Creed and easily find the clause "He ascended to Heaven and is seated at the right hand of the Father."

According to John 10:30 and 38, Jesus N_f on earth ascended to Heaven and then N_x is seated on the right side of the Father. It is the same explanation as per formula (3-3). Jesus N_f who ascended to Heaven after he hadcompleted his role on Earth should part from N_y and returned toN_x status.In a word, he recovered his original statusN_x in Heaven according to formula (3-1).

5 The Holy Spirit (N_{hs})

The Holy Spirit is one of three persons. all three persons keep the same   substances with the Father's. It is called Trinity doctrine.

5-1 All the three persons is One God of the Father N.

Both the Son N_x and the Holy Spirit N_y are sub sequences in the population N. It is already explained and proven in Chapter 3 & 4.

It is the key point that N_{hs} comes from $N_x \cup N_y$

The conception of the almightyGod[11] N is a precondition for understandingthe Holy SpiritN_{hs}. It is because the perfect Holy Spirit($\pi\nu\epsilon\tilde{\upsilon}\mu\alpha$) N_{hs} Requires to keep the same elements as God of The Father. Because of this reason, the Spirit N_y requires to combine with the Son N_x, then N_y becomesthe Holy SpiritN_{hs}

That is :

$$N_x \cup N_y =,N_{hs} \quad ....(5-1)^{12}$$

This Holy Spirit N_{hs} alone according to will of Godcould go into action onEarth[13].

5-2 Filioque Controversy

---

[11]Genesis 17:1

[12]Matthew 28:20, Luke 24:49, John 14:9,10,13,18,19,26,28,31

[13]John 14:31

If the Spirit N_y comes down on Earth, N_y alone can do nothing on Earth because N_y alone is not the Same God N. Then it is a question where N_y comes from. Its only correct answer is :

$$N \cap N_x = N_y \quad ....(5\text{-}2)$$

This formula shows the Spirit N_y in Heaven from God of the Father N.

Thus the above formulae (5-1) and (5-2) proved that the Holy spirit proceededfrom The Father of God. And then N_y with N_x became Holy Spirit N_{hs} on Earth.

According to the Holy Bible[14], Jesus also came from God. Because of such occasion, it is certain that the Holy Spirit N_{hs} proceeded from God as per (5-2).

The Formulae (5-1 & 2) show that The Holy spiritN_{hs} proceeds from The Father N and Son N_x .

Thus the Filioque Controversy is now simply solved. The mystery of the Filioque Controversy is now awakened from 2,000 years long sleeping.

q.e.d.

6. Conclusion[15]

This moment,as I completed his thesis, it is very dramatic moment because two of the most mysterious questions in history are about to see the silver lining in the dark cloud. This thesis belongs to the field of Mathematics, thus if any readers want to fully understand this thesis, it requires some knowledge of mathematical concepts with sufficient knowledge on Christian doctrines,i.eQuestions of Trinity and the filioquecontroversy.

  I tried to explain this thesis with simple concepts of mathematics, and I avoided using set theory, instead, I have applied very basic concept of natural number sequenceon both Trinity questions andthe Filioque Controversy, hoping that some of young theologians would take their hot interests in this Theomathology without too much burden.

---

[14]John 8:42 "I proceeded forth and came from God; neither came I of myself, but he sent me.(evgw. ga.r evk tou/ qeou/ evxh/lqon kai. h[kw\ ouvde. ga.r avpV evmautou/ evlh,luqa(avllV evkei/no,j me avpe,steilen)"

[15]John 14 : 9, 10, 13, 18, 19, 26, 28, 31

Prior to the Theomathology, the study of theology was considered to have no relation with mathematics. However, as we have entered the 21st century, speed of development of science is in supersonic speed; and the field of theology also has to keep up with this speed, otherwise people would lose their faith and belief. We are living in a world where IT industry is massively developed that it will soon exceed the human brain; and people even fear that when the humanoids comes out, the human being may become extinct because of the robots. The basic of IT technology is 0 and 1. In other words, its basic is mathematics.

Theomathology will require some level of mathematical knowledge as it expands the area of questions to tackle. There are already few theses that I have published 10 years ago, and I hope that it will be helpful for the field of theology to have a lot of scholars like IT field.

I hope this thesis will find many theologians a good impression, and I would like to dedicate my work to the Glory of the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit. May the world have peace and love of God, and let it be abundant with prosperous lives.

<div align="center">The End</div>

Bibliography

Francis Jickhong Yun. Your soul in the Afterlife, Theomathology, 2003, Jay Street Publishers,New York. NY.

Tae Sik Park. Jesus Nazareth 2003 Paulin Seoul Korea

Yang Hoon Park, The most recent, Catholic Theology 1996 The Catholic Publishing Co. Seoul Korea

Hyun Woo Shin. Rules of Histroical Jesus Research 2005 Westminster Publisher

Ki-rak Lee, Duodecim Prophetea minores   1999 Centurum Conferentia Catholica

Bong Mo Song, Study on St. Paul's Epistles 2005 Sogang Graduate School of Theology

Christopher Kaiser, "The Development of Johannine Motifs in Hilary's Doctrine of the Trinity" in Scottish Journal of Theology 1976

Concordia Theological Quarterly, January-April 1995,Bulgakov, Sergius (June 2004). The Comforter. Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company.

Bury, John Bagnell (January 2012). A History of the Later Roman Empire from Arcadius to Irene, 395 A.D. to 800 A.D Volume 2. HardPress.

Cleenewerck, Laurent (2008). His Broken Body: Understanding and healing the schism between the Roman Catholic and Eastern Orthodox Churches. Washington, DC: Euclid University Press.

F. Frank Leslie; Livingstone, Elizabeth Anne (2005). "Double Procession of the Holy Spirit". The Oxford Dictionary Of The Christian Church. Oxford University Press. Davies, Brian (1992). The Thought of Thomas Aquinas. Clarendon Press.

Theomathology reference

Andrews, G. E. Number Theory. New York: Dover, 1994.

Andrews, G. E.; Berndt, B. C.; and Rankin, R. A. (Ed.). Ramanujan Revisited: Proceedings of the Centenary Conference, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, June 1-5, 1987. Boston, MA: Academic Press, 1988.

Anglin, W. S. The Queen of Mathematics: An Introduction to Number Theory. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer, 1995.

11

Apostol, T. M. Introduction to Analytic Number Theory. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1976.

Ayoub, R. G. An Introduction to the Analytic Theory of Numbers. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1963.

Beiler, A. H. Recreations in the Theory of Numbers: The Queen of Mathematics Entertains, 2nd ed. New York: Dover, 1966.

Bellman, R. E. Analytic Number Theory: An Introduction. Reading, MA: Benjamin/Cummings, 1980.

Berndt, B. C. Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part V. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1997b.

Berndt, B. C. and Rankin, R. A. Ramanujan: Letters and Commentary. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc, 1995.

Borwein, J. M. and Borwein, P. B. Pi & the AGM: A Study in Analytic Number Theory and Computational Complexity. New York: Wiley, 1987.

Bressoud, D. M. and Wagon, S. A Course in Computational Number Theory. London: Springer-Verlag, 2000.

Burr, S. A. The Unreasonable Effectiveness of Number Theory. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1992.

Burton, D. M. Elementary Number Theory, 4th ed. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon, 1989.

Carmichael, R. D. The Theory of Numbers, and Diophantine Analysis. New York: Dover, 1959.

Cohen, H. Advanced Topics in Computational Number Theory. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2000.

Cohn, H. Advanced Number Theory. New York: Dover, 1980.

Courant, R. and Robbins, H. "The Theory of Numbers." Supplement to Ch. 1 in What Is Mathematics?: An Elementary Approach to Ideas and Methods, 2nd ed. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press, pp. 21-51, 1996.

Davenport, H. The Higher Arithmetic: An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 6th ed. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, 1992.

Davenport, H. and Montgomery, H. L. Multiplicative Number Theory, 2nd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1980.

Dickson, L. E. History of the Theory of Numbers, Vol. 1: Divisibility and Primality. New York: Dover, 2005a.

Dickson, L. E. History of the Theory of Numbers, Vol. 2: Diophantine Analysis. New York: Dover, 2005b.

Dickson, L. E. History of the Theory of Numbers, Vol. 3: Quadratic and Higher Forms. New York: Dover, 2005c.

Dudley, U. Elementary Number Theory. San Francisco, CA: W. H. Freeman, 1978.

Friedberg, R. An Adventurer's Guide to Number Theory. New York: Dover, 1994.

Gaughan, Edward. ''. Introduction to Analysis. AMS (2009).

Gauss, C. F. Disquisitiones Arithmeticae. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1966.

George E. Andrews, Evan Pugh The Holy Grail of Number Theory published by Saunders in 1971

Goldman, J. R. The Queen of Mathematics: An Historically Motivated Guide to Number Theory. Wellesley, MA: A K Peters, 1997.

Guy, R. K. Unsolved Problems in Number Theory, 3rd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2004.

Hardy, G. H. and Wright, E. M. An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 5th ed. Oxford, England: Clarendon Press, 1979.

Hardy, G. H. Ramanujan: Twelve Lectures on Subjects Suggested by His Life and Work, 3rd ed. New York: Chelsea, 1959.

Hasse, H. Number Theory. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1980.

Herkommer, M. A. Number Theory: A Programmer's Guide. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1999.

Ireland, K. F. and Rosen, M. I. A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, 2nd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1995.

Kato, K.; Kurokawa, N.; and Saito, T. Number Theory 1: Fermat's Dream. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 2000.

Klee, V. and Wagon, S. Old and New Unsolved Problems in Plane Geometry and Number Theory. Washington, DC: Math. Assoc. Amer., 1991.

Koblitz, N. A Course in Number Theory and Cryptography. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1987.

Landau, E. Elementary Number Theory, 2nd ed. New York: Chelsea, 1999.

Lang, S. Algebraic Number Theory, 2nd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1994.

Lenstra, H. W. and Tijdeman, R. (Eds.). Computational Methods in Number Theory, 2 vols. Amsterdam: Mathematisch Centrum, 1982.

LeVeque, W. J. Fundamentals of Number Theory. New York: Dover, 1996.

MathPages. "Number Theory." http://www.mathpages.com/home/inumber.htm.

Mitrinović, D. S. and Sándor, J. Handbook of Number Theory. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer, 1995.

Mollin, R. A. Algebraic Number Theory. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 1999.

Mollin, R. A. Fundamental Number Theory with Applications. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 1998.

Niven, I. M.; Zuckerman, H. S.; and Montgomery, H. L. An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 5th ed. New York: Wiley, 1991.

Ogilvy, C. S. and Anderson, J. T. Excursions in Number Theory. New York: Dover, 1988.

Ore, Ø. Invitation to Number Theory. Washington, DC: Math. Assoc. Amer., 1967.

Ore, Ø. Number Theory and Its History. New York: Dover, 1988.

Rose, H. E. A Course in Number Theory, 2nd ed. Oxford, England: Clarendon Press, 1995.

Rosen, K. H. Elementary Number Theory and Its Applications, 3rd ed. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1993.

Schroeder, M. R. Number Theory in Science and Communication: With Applications in Cryptography, Physics, Digital Information, Computing, and Self-Similarity, 3rd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1997.

Shanks, D. Solved and Unsolved Problems in Number Theory, 4th ed. New York: Chelsea, 1993.

Sierpinski, W. 250 Problems in Elementary Number Theory. New York: American Elsevier, 1970.

Uspensky, J. V. and Heaslet, M. A. Elementary Number Theory. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1939.

Vinogradov, I. M. Elements of Number Theory, 5th rev. ed. New York: Dover, 1954.

Weil, A. Basic Number Theory, 3rd ed. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1995.

Weil, A. Number Theory: An Approach Through History From Hammurapi to Legendre. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser, 1984.

Weisstein, E. W. "Books about Number Theory."

http://www.ericweisstein.com/encyclopedias/books/NumberTheory.html.

Weyl, H. Algebraic Theory of Numbers. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1998.

Yildirim, C. Y. and Stepanov, S. A. (Eds.). Number Theory and Its Applications. New York: Dekker, 1998.

Young, J. W. A. "The Theory of Numbers." Ch. 7 in Monographs on Topics of Modern Mathematics Relevant to the Elementary Field (Ed. J. W. A. Young). New York: Dover